# Preparation of 8-(Arylsulfanyl)adenines with Diazonium Salts under Mild, Aerobic Conditions 
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8-(Arylsulfanyl)adenines $\mathbf{1 1}$ were prepared in up to $75 \%$ yield by reacting the 8 -thionoadenine 6 (acetic acid 3-(6-amino-8-thioxo-7,8-dihydropurin-9-yl)propyl ester) with benzenediazonium tetrafluoroborates in DMSO. Benzenediazonium ions carrying an electron-withdrawing substituent gave the highest yields. The reaction proceeded smoothly at room temperature without any base and could be performed under air atmosphere. The extremely mild conditions are compatible with a wide range of functional groups.

Heat Shock Protein 90 (Hsp90) is a molecular chaperone that maintains the proper conformation of "client" proteins. ${ }^{1}$ Hsp90 client proteins include three clinically validated cancer targets, Her-2/neu (Herceptin), Bcr-Abl (Gleevec), and the androgen receptor (Casodex), as well as several oncogenes, such as EGFR, MET, IGF-1R, Akt, Raf-1, and p53. Mutant client proteins, as found in cancer cells, are particularly dependent on Hsp90 to preserve their conformation and function. Inhibition of Hsp90 causes these client proteins to adopt aberrant conformations, which is followed by their rapid degradation by the proteasome, resulting in cell-cycle arrest and ultimately cell death. Hence, Hsp90 inhibitors can prevent tumor growth by damaging multiple proliferative pathways. Moreover, Hsp90 occurs in an activated form in cancer cells and in a latent form in normal cells. This provides a rare opportunity for medicinal chemists to specifically target cancer cells with inhibitors selective for the activated form. ${ }^{1 c}$ This concept has been demonstrated in murine models (tumor xenografts) and is currently being

[^0]proved in phase I/II clinical trials using the semisynthetic inhibitor 17-allyl-17-desmethoxygeldanamycin.

As derivatives of the structurally complex natural product geldanamycin are expensive, often difficult to formulate, and limited to parenteral use, we are developing orally active, small-molecule inhibitors of Hsp 90. Recently, we and others have identified 8-arylsulfanyl purines ${ }^{2}$ and 8-benzyl purines ${ }^{2,3}$ as new classes of potent and specific Hsp90 inhibitors. ${ }^{4}$ For our investigations, the need arose to produce 8-(arylsulfanyl)adenines having the generic structure 5, which we initially obtained by reacting 8 -bromoadenine ( $\mathbf{1}$ ) with thiophenolates in DMF at $70-120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Scheme 1, eq 1 ).

This method had several limitations: (i) some thiophenols did not withstand the reaction temperatures, (ii) the preparation of 8 -bromoadenine $\mathbf{1}$ by bromination of adenine ${ }^{5}$ was in our hands capricious and often incomplete, and (iii) the range of commercial thiophenols did not have the substitution pattern relevant to us.

We therefore evaluated an alternative route by reacting 6 -amino-7,9-dihydropurine-8-thione (3) with diazonium salts (Scheme 1, eq 2). The sulfur atom is present on the adenine ring rather than on the benzene ring. This formally reverses the polarity of the reaction, and the adenine becomes the nucleophile.

At the outset of our work, little was known about the reaction of diazonium salts with heterocyclic sulfur nucleophiles. In fact, only one example was reported: ${ }^{6} 2,4,6$ trimethylbenzenediazonium chloride was reacted with the KOH salt of 3 -mercapto- $1 H-1,2,4$-triazole to yield the corresponding sulfide ( $\mathrm{MeOH}, 0-9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 69 \%$ ).

In contrast, the reaction of diazonium salts with structurally simpler sulfur nucleophiles, such as $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$, $\mathrm{RS}^{-}$, or $\mathrm{ArS}^{-}$, is well precedented. ${ }^{7}$ When the sulfur nucleophile is $\mathrm{HS}^{-}$or an equivalent thereof, the reaction is a standard route to thiophenols. ${ }^{8,9}$ The diazonium salts are then typically reacted with $\mathrm{ROCS}_{2}{ }^{-}$(Leuckart synthesis), ${ }^{10} \mathrm{~S}_{n}{ }^{2-},{ }^{8} \mathrm{NCS}^{-},{ }^{8} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2-},{ }^{8}$ or thiourea ${ }^{11}$ to give, respectively, xanthates, thiophenolates, isothiocyanates,
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## SCHEME 1



TABLE 1. Reaction of 3 with Diazonium Salts ${ }^{a}$

| entry | substituent on 4 | conditions | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unreacted } \\ & \mathbf{3}(\%) \end{aligned}$ | yield of 5 (\%) | yield of 5 based on unreacted 3 (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ | 15 | 41 | 49 |
| 2 | 4-F | $\mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$ | 41 | 33 | 56 |
| 3 | $3-\mathrm{OMe}$ | $\mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ | 58 | 31 | 74 |
| 4 | 4-Me | $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}$ | 71 | 7 | 25 |

${ }^{a}$ All reactions were performed in DMF, at a concentration of 1 M, with 1.2 equiv of diazonium salt. Yields were determined by HPLC and are corrected for the differences in absorbance.

Bunté salts, and isothiuronium salts which can all be hydrolyzed with alkali to provide a thiophenol. When the nucleophile is $\mathrm{RS}^{-}$, the reaction is equally feasible and has been reported with $\mathrm{EtSNa}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right),{ }^{12}$ straightchain or branched alkanethiolates $\mathrm{RSNa}\left(\mathrm{MeOH}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ), $\mathrm{MeSCu}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right),{ }^{13} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{SCu}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right),{ }^{14}$ and $\mathrm{HS}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$ (no base, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), ${ }^{15}$ Finally, the reaction of diazonium salts with $\mathrm{ArS}^{-}$has been reported several times, ${ }^{16}$ usually with $\mathrm{PhSNa}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}^{16}\right.$ or $\mathrm{MeOH},{ }^{17}$ $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

While our work was in progress, an interesting Cumediated coupling of $\mathbf{3}$ with iodobenzenes was published, ${ }^{18}$ and both methods will be compared in the conclusion of this paper.

In a series of preliminary experiments, we were gratified to observe that a suspension of the thione $\mathbf{3}$ in DMF reacted cleanly with several diazonium salts (Table 1). Electron-withdrawing substituents $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{~F}\right.$; entries 1,2) gave the highest yields. The reaction was fastest with either the electron-withdrawing $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ or the electrondonating MeO . It was slower with F , and very slow with methyl. Unfortunately, the low solubility of $\mathbf{3}$ consistently resulted in low conversions, yielding $15-71 \%$ of unreacted starting material which could be selectively removed by an aqueous workup.

We therefore shifted our focus to the thionopurine 6
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TABLE 2. Solvent Optimization ${ }^{a}$

| entry | solvent | unreacted $\mathbf{6}(\%)$ | yield of $\mathbf{8}(\%)$ | yield of $\mathbf{9}(\%)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DMSO | 22 | 35 | 4 |
| 2 | Acetone | 31 | 35 | 7 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 9 | 34 | 9 |
| 4 | MeOH | 27 | 27 | 4 |
| 5 | DMF | 58 | 17 | 2 |
| 6 | i-PrOH | 57 | 13 | 1 |
| 7 | THF | 40 | 4 | 1 |

${ }^{a}$ All reactions were performed at a concentration of 0.1 M , with 1.0 equiv of NaOH and 1.2 equiv of 7, rt, 1 h . Yields were determined by HPLC and are corrected for the differences in absorbance.

TABLE 3. Base Optimization ${ }^{a}$

| entry | base | unreacted $\mathbf{6}$ <br> $(\%)$ | yield of $\mathbf{8}$ <br> $(\%)$ | yield of $\mathbf{9}$ <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | no base, 0.5 M | 4 | 56 | 0 |
| 2 | pyridine | 22 | 48 | 0 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ | 18 | 46 | 0 |
| 4 | no base, 0.1 M | 19 | 45 | 1 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 20 | 45 | 3 |
| 6 | $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ | 31 | 39 | 2 |
| 7 | $t-\mathrm{BuOK}$ | 19 | 36 | 8 |
| 8 | NaOH | 17 | 33 | 5 |
| 9 | DBU | 21 | 32 | 3 |

${ }^{a}$ All reactions were performed in DMSO, at a concentration of 0.1 M unless otherwise noted, with 1.0 equiv of base and 1.2 equiv of 7, rt, 1 h . Yields were determined by HPLC and are corrected for the differences in absorbance.
(Scheme 2), ${ }^{19}$ in which the $\mathrm{N}(9)$ side chain significantly increased the solubility and further provided a functional group ( AcO ) suitable for our medicinal chemistry efforts. First, we optimized the solvent using 7 as the diazonium salt and aqueous 1 M NaOH as the base (Table 2). No precautions were taken to exclude moisture or air, and in all cases the reaction gave the desired product 8 and the deacetylated product 9 . The best yield of 8 and ratio of $8 / 9$ was obtained in DMSO, although acetone and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ were also acceptable solvents.

Next, we optimized the base (Table 3) using DMSO as the solvent, ${ }^{20}$ and we were surprised to observe that the
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## SCHEME 3

(eq. 4)


TABLE 4. Preparation of 8-Sulfanyladenine 11

| entry | X | unreacted $\mathbf{6}(\%)$ | yield of $\mathbf{1 1}(\%)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $4-\mathrm{Br}$ | 4 | 75 |
| 2 | $4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 7 | 71 |
| 3 | $4-\mathrm{F}$ | 17 | 61 |
| 4 | $4-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | 0 | 61 |
| 5 | $5-\mathrm{MeO}-2-\mathrm{I}$ | 3 | 56 |
| 6 | $2,4-\mathrm{di}-\mathrm{F}$ | 0 | 38 |
| 7 | $4-\mathrm{MeO}$ | 57 | 27 |
| 8 | $4-\mathrm{Me}$ | 39 | 23 |
| 9 | H | 6 | 21 |
| 10 | $3-\mathrm{MeO}_{2}$ | 15 | 21 |
| 11 | $4-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ | 72 | $<10$ |

${ }^{a}$ All reactions were carried out in DMSO at a concentration of 0.5 M , with 1.2 equiv of diazonium salt, rt, 24 h . Yields were determined by HPLC and are corrected for the differences in absorbance.
reaction proceeded best in the absence of any base. With few exceptions, ${ }^{15}$ thiols require deprotonation to react with diazonium salts. Thus, it is remarkable that a neutral thiol species can react so fast with a diazonium salt at room temperature. A high concentration ( 0.5 M ) gave the optimal yield of 8, and importantly, under these conditions no deacetylation was observed.

With these optimized conditions in hand (DMSO, no base, 0.5 M ), we screened a range of diazonium salts and obtained yields as high as $75 \%$ (Scheme 3, Table 4). ${ }^{21}$ The reaction proceeded favorably with benzenediazonium salts carrying electron-withdrawing substituents ( $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Br}$, $\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ ), while electron-donating substituents ( Me , $\mathrm{MeO}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ) resulted in poorer yield. The 2,4-difluorobenzenediazonium ion (entry 6) was presumably too reactive to result in a clean reaction. To confirm that the yields determined by HPLC were reliable, two representative compounds were isolated by flash chromatography. The 4-nitro derivative (entry 2) and the 2-iodo-5-methoxybenzene derivative (entry 5) were isolated in $64 \%$ and $51 \%$ yield, respectively, which is comparable with the HPLC yields. Their structure was confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, CHN, and/or HRMS. In general, these reactions did not give one major byproduct, but rather an array of minor impurities, some of which were bright orange, and occasionally complicated the chromatographic separations.

The kinetics of the reactions were monitored by HPLC, and Figure 1 depicts the results for $4-\left(\mathrm{EtO}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{+}$-
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FIGURE 1. Concentration of starting material 6 and product 11 as a function of time.

## SCHEME 4


$\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$, a moderately reactive diazonium salt. Addition of the diazonium salt to the reaction mixture was characterized by a rapid evolution of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ which subsided after $1-2 \mathrm{~min}$. After $5 \mathrm{~min}, 41 \%$ of the starting material 6 was consumed, producing $31 \%$ of the 8 -sulfanylpurine 11. After this initial phase, the reaction rate decreased significantly, yielding $50 \%$ of 6 after 40 min . The starting material and the diazonium salt were consumed at a constant rate, while the amount of product 11 reached a plateau at $61 \%$. Thereafter, impurities appeared suggesting that $\mathbf{1 1}$ can itself react to some extent with the diazonium cation.

It is tempting to postulate that the reaction mechanism involves the intermediate diazosulfide 12 (Scheme 4) which would rapidly decompose by a series of radicaland single-electron-transfer reactions with loss of dinitrogen. Analogous disulfides have been postulated for the reaction of PhSNa with $\mathrm{ArN}_{2}{ }^{+} .{ }^{16}$ They are also known to accumulate in the reaction of EtSNa with $\mathrm{PhN}_{2}{ }^{+} .{ }^{22}$ Furthermore, the 8 -thionopurine 6 may be regarded as a substituted thiourea, and the reaction of thiourea with the $p$-nitrobenzenediazonium ion gives a diazosulfide which could be isolated. ${ }^{11}$ In our case, if a diazosulfide is indeed in an intermediate, it must decompose faster than it forms because no intermediates were detected by HPLC.
(22) The reaction of EtSNa with $\mathrm{PhN}_{2}{ }^{+}$gives $\mathrm{EtSN}=\mathrm{NPh}$, which breaks down at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give EtSPh (see ref 12).

## SCHEME 5

(eq. 6 )



This mechanism, however, does not account for the kinetics reported in Figure 1. One would expect the reaction to be first order in each reactant ( $\mathbf{6}$ and 11), i.e., overall second order. However, further data analysis suggests that the reaction is overall third order. ${ }^{23}$ This implies a more complex reaction mechanism, or perhaps the coexistence of two different mechanisms, but their nature is not apparent to us without additional investigations.

In conclusion, the reaction of 8-thionoadenine $\mathbf{6}$ in the presence of benzenediazonium salts is a remarkably facile reaction. This method readily allows the rapid generation of 8-(phenylsulfanyl)adenines 11, under neutral conditions and at room temperature. There is no need to exclude air or moisture, and oxidation of the thionopurine $\mathbf{3}$ or $\mathbf{6}$ to the corresponding disulfide was never observed. This method is best suited for adenines that are substituted at $\mathrm{N}(9)$, because they are more soluble, and for diazonium ions that carry electron-withdrawing substituents. This method also allows for the introduction of halogens ( $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I}$ ) which can be further utilized for Pdmediated functionalization.

There are two alternative routes to compounds of structure 11. The first one consists of coupling the thionoadenine $\mathbf{3}$ with an iodobenzene under Cu-cataly$\operatorname{sis}^{18}$ to give 5, which is then alkylated (Scheme 5, eq 6). The Cu-catalyzed coupling is certainly the method of
(23) A plot of $[\mathbf{6}]^{-1}$ versus time is not linear, excluding the possibility of an overall second-order reaction, but a plot of $[6]^{-2}$ versus time is linear, which is consistent with a third-order reaction (see the Supporting Information).
choice for the generation of $\mathrm{N}(9)$-unsubstituted adenines, in part because the conditions ( $t$-BuONa, DMF, $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $24 \mathrm{~h})$ facilitate the dissolution of the starting material 3. Also, the Cu-catalyzed coupling is not limited to electron-withdrawing substituents. However, the reaction does require rigorous exclusion of air, and the strongly basic conditions preclude the use of some functional and/ or protective groups, such as esters. Furthermore, the alkylation of 5 typically gives a 2:1 mixture of $\mathrm{N}(9)$ - and $\mathrm{N}(3)$-alkylated regioisomers which are often difficult to separate by silica gel chromatography. It is therefore more convenient to introduce the substituent early in the synthetic scheme. ${ }^{24}$

The alternative to generate compounds of structure 11 consists of coupling the 8-bromoadenine 14 with thiophenolates (Scheme 5, eq 7, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 70-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The $\mathrm{N}(9)$ substituent provides the solubility so critically lacking in 8 -bromoadenine (1) and renders the reaction perfectly satisfactory in many cases, even if some of the thiophenol inevitably oxidizes to the corresponding disulfide. This may be the reaction of choice if the thiophenol is commercially available, but is less than optimal if the thiophenol requires preparation. Thiophenols can be difficult to prepare, and their purification can be onerous because of their tendency to oxidize. Their stench further renders distillations and chromatographies notoriously disagreeable. In contrast, diazonium tetrafluoroborates are odorless, solid (often crystalline), and easily generated from anilines, for which the commercial pool is comparatively much larger and cheaper than for thiophenols.

The three methods described in this paragraph are clearly complementary, and the interplay of factors mentioned in this chapter will guide the chemist in selecting the method most appropriate to their application.
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